

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/797,440 | 03/10/2004 | Barry C. Worrell | DP-309969 | 4511 |
| 759 | 90 05/19/2006 | | EXAM | INER |
| Scott A. McBain | | | SLITERIS, JOSELYNN Y | |
| Delphi Technolo | ogies, Inc. | | · | |
| M/C 480-410-202 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| P.O. Box 5052 | | | 3616 | |
| Troy, MI 48007 | | | DATE MAILED: 05/19/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments | 10/797,440 | WORRELL ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Joselynn Y. Sliteris | 3616 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| | -· action is non-final. | | | | | |
| , | , | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-5,9-11,13-16 and 20-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 6</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>7,8,12,18,19</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 March 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | • | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| • | priority under 25 H C C S 110(a) |) (d) or (f) | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| , | s have been received | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | · | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | | ed. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | (PTO-413) ate | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03102004</u> . | | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
 - A. an exemplary embodiment of the present invention according to Figs. 1-8;
- B. an alternative embodiment of the present invention according to Figs. 9-12;
- C. an alternative embodiment of the present invention according to Figs. 13
 16;
- D. an alternative embodiment of the present invention according to Figs. 17, 18, 20, 21, 25 & 26A-27B;
- E. an alternative embodiment of the present invention according to Figs. 19 &22-24;
- F. an alternative embodiment of the present invention according to Figs. 28-31; and
- G. an alternative embodiment of the present invention according to Figs. 32 &33.

The species are independent or distinct because the embodiment of species A, Figs. 1-8, does not have the particulars of Figs. 13-16, which is the guide member 60.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 17 appears to be generic.

Art Unit: 3616

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 2. During a telephone conversation with Christopher Boehm (Reg. No. 41,624) on 4/10/06 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of species I, claims 1, 2, 6-8, 12, and 17-19. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 3-5, 9-11, 13-16, and 20-22 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/797,440 Page 4

Art Unit: 3616

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 22. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 3 line 30, "and method" should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

6. Claims 7, 8, and 12 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 7 line 8, "maintains" should be --maintain--; in claim 12 line 2, "an" should be --and--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sauer et al. (WO 00/74980), as cited by applicant.

Regarding claim 17, Sauer discloses a steering wheel 1 and airbag module assembly 3 as in the present invention, comprising:

a steering wheel armature 30 having a lower mounting side and an upper mounting side (Fig. 7);

an airbag module 3 configured to be mounted to said upper mounting side;

a floating horn switch 8, 9 disposed between said steering wheel armature and said airbag module wherein application of a force to said airbag module causes said floating horn switch to close, said floating horn switch comprising an isolated ground 4, said isolated ground being electrically isolated (via 15) from said steering wheel armature.

9. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schutz et al. (US 2002/0074781).

Regarding claim 17, Schutz discloses a steering wheel 10, 12, 14, 16 and airbag module assembly 18 as in the present invention, comprising:

a steering wheel armature 10 having a lower mounting side and an upper

mounting side (Fig. 1);

an airbag module 18 configured to be mounted to said upper mounting side;

a floating horn switch 70 disposed between said steering wheel armature and said airbag module wherein application of a force to said airbag module causes said floating horn switch to close, said floating horn switch comprising an isolated ground 20, 10, said isolated ground being electrically isolated from said steering wheel armature.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 1, 2, and 6 are allowed.
- 11. Claims 7, 8, and 12 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objection(s) set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. Claims 18 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joselynn Y. Sliteris whose telephone number is 571-

Application/Control Number: 10/797,440 Page 7

Art Unit: 3616

272-6675. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Thurs & Fri 8:30 am - 5:00

pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul N. Dickson can be reached on 571-272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joselynn Y. Sliteris 5/12
Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3616

JYS 5/12/06

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600